

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,121	07/30/2003		Robert A. Grigsby JR.	81488	6720
7590 08/27/2004			EXAMINER		
Legal Department Huntsman LLC				COONEY, JOHN M	
P.O. Box 15730				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 7	8761			1711	
				DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,121	GRIGSBY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John m Cooney	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	rply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	nis action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow		
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8) Claim(s) $\underline{1-20}$ are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	e proprieta de la companya de la co
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		v the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	plication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bures		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	or the certified copies not re	ceivea.
•		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur Paper No(s)/I	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	s) 5) 🔲 Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/630,121

Art Unit: 1711

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to catalyst composition, classified in class 502, subclass 100.
- II. Claims 15-20, drawn to a foam product and process for its preparation, classified in class 521, subclass 50.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I. and II. are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a reactive mixture in polyester film forming compositions and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,121

Art Unit: 1711

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Christopher Whewell on 8-25-04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
O COUP TO